A heavy metal transporter gene *ZmHMA3a* promises safe agricultural production on cadmium-polluted arable land

Yuanyuan Chen, Zhen-Fei Chao, Min Jin, Ya-Ling Wang, Yaoyao Li, Jia-Chen Wu, Yingjie Xiao, Yong Peng, Qiao-Yan Lv, Songtao Gui, Xiaqing Wang, Mei-Ling Han, Alisdair R. Fernie, Dai-Yin Chao, Jianbing Yan

PII: \$1673-8527(22)00215-6

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgg.2022.08.003

Reference: JGG 1103

To appear in: Journal of Genetics and Genomics

Received Date: 13 August 2022
Revised Date: 18 August 2022
Accepted Date: 21 August 2022

Please cite this article as: Chen, Y., Chao, Z.-F., Jin, M., Wang, Y.-L., Li, Y., Wu, J.-C., Xiao, Y., Peng, Y., Lv, Q.-Y., Gui, S., Wang, X., Han, M.-L., Fernie, A.R., Chao, D.-Y., Yan, J., A heavy metal transporter gene *ZmHMA3a* promises safe agricultural production on cadmium-polluted arable land, *Journal of Genetics and Genomics*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgg.2022.08.003.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Copyright © 2022, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, and Genetics Society of China. Published by Elsevier Limited and Science Press. All rights reserved.



A heavy metal transporter gene ZmHMA3a promises safe

agricultural production on cadmium-polluted arable land

In China, 19% of agricultural soils contain harmful heavy metal pollutants at levels exceeding environmentally recommended standards, whilst around 3 million hectares of arable land are too polluted to grow crops on (Zhao et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2016). Among the deleterious heavy metals, cadmium (Cd) is the most bioavailable toxic metallic pollutant and is rapidly transferable through the food chain (Wang et al., 2019). Concerning the current dilemma of the enhanced food demands of a rising population and decreasing availability of arable land, it is promising to cultivate field crops that produce enough safe foods for human consumption and simultaneously remove the pollutants from contaminated arable lands.

Many genes underlying Cd root uptake, vacuolar sequestration, root-to-shoot translocation, and accumulation have been demonstrated in rice (Zhao and Wang, 2020). Although knockout or overexpression of these key genes can efficiently reduce Cd accumulation in the grains, the field performance and even grain yield are unavoidably impaired when this strategy is followed (Sasaki et al., 2012; Sasaki et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2019; Chang et al., 2020). Owing to the massive biomass and high tolerance to Cd, maize (*Zea mays* L.) is a promising species for remediating Cd contaminations in agricultural soils (Wuana and Okieimen, 2010), as long as it can be engineered to be capable of producing safe kernels. It was reported that Cd content in maize varied widely among different genetic lines (Zhao et al., 2018; Baseggio et al., 2021), but only one gene regulating Cd accumulation in kernels has been functionally characterized in maize (Tang et al., 2021).

We initially observed that the leaf Cd content varied widely in two maize populations (Yang et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2020) (Fig. S1A and S1D). Then, a major peak (p = 7.05e-19) on chromosome 2 was identified in CUBIC, which explained 18.42% of the phenotypic variance (Figs. 1A and S1B). According to the parental IBD status of the peak bin (LRT = 69.84), JI53 group presents a significant difference from the other 15 groups (Non-JI53) and even greatly exceed the China guidance level of Cd contamination in cereals (0.1 mg/kg, hygienic standard for grains) (MHPRC, 2012), implying that the JI53-IBD is a high-Cd allele and Non-JI53 IBD is a low-Cd allele (Fig. S1C). By comparing the phenotypic difference against the genetic divergence among the progenies carrying JI53 bins

30	and Non-JI53 bins, we refined this QTL to a 6 Mb interval (158-164 Mb, Fig. 1B), which was
31	comparable to qLCd2 (153.75–167.58 Mb on chromosome 2) for maize leaf Cd accumulation (Zhao
32	et al., 2018) and $qCd1$ for maize kernel Cd variation (Tang et al., 2021). The same QTL $qCd2$ (162.8)
33	Mb-164.4 Mb, B73RefGen_v4.32) was also detected in AMP, which accounted for 17.98% of the
34	phenotypic variance (Fig. 1C and 1D). Based on the B73 gene model annotations at qCd2,
35	Zm00001d005189 and Zm00001d005190 were annotated as heavy metal ATPases (Table S1). In the
36	published studies (Cao et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2021), these two genes were directly named
37	ZmHMA4 (GRMZM2G455491) and ZmHMA3 (GRMZM2G175576). However, phylogenic analysis
38	in our study shows that both Zm00001d005189 and Zm00001d005190 are the closest homologous
39	to OsHMA3 (Ueno et al., 2010; Miyadate et al., 2011) (Fig. S2). Hereafter, it is more reasonable to
40	designate Zm00001d005190 as ZmHMA3a and Zm00001d005189 as ZmHMA3b and nominate them
41	as the candidate genes (Fig. 1D). Consistent with the B73 transcriptome profile (Walley et al., 2016),
42	RNA profiling of 24 parental lines of CUBIC revealed that ZmHMA3a was mainly expressed in
43	roots while ZmHMA3b was essentially not expressed in any tissues (Figs. S3 and S4). Knockout and
44	overexpression of ZmHMA3b did not alter the leaf Cd content (Fig. S5), which demonstrated that
45	ZmHMA3b was not the causal gene for natural variation in the leaf Cd.
45 46	ZmHMA3b was not the causal gene for natural variation in the leaf Cd. According to the IBD status of ZmHMA3a, the CUBIC progenies were classified into JI53 and
46	According to the IBD status of ZmHMA3a, the CUBIC progenies were classified into JI53 and
46 47	According to the IBD status of <i>ZmHMA3a</i> , the CUBIC progenies were classified into JI53 and Non-JI53 groups. Compared to Non-JI53 allelic individuals, JI53-allelic progenies showed
46 47 48	According to the IBD status of <i>ZmHMA3a</i> , the CUBIC progenies were classified into JI53 and Non-JI53 groups. Compared to Non-JI53 allelic individuals, JI53-allelic progenies showed significantly higher Cd levels in the leaf and kernel (Fig. S6A). Additionally, 12 significantly
46 47 48 49	According to the IBD status of $ZmHMA3a$, the CUBIC progenies were classified into JI53 and Non-JI53 groups. Compared to Non-JI53 allelic individuals, JI53-allelic progenies showed significantly higher Cd levels in the leaf and kernel (Fig. S6A). Additionally, 12 significantly associated variations ($P < 8.9e-08$) on $ZmHMA3a$ were identified in AMP, which included the top
46 47 48 49 50	According to the IBD status of $ZmHMA3a$, the CUBIC progenies were classified into JI53 and Non-JI53 groups. Compared to Non-JI53 allelic individuals, JI53-allelic progenies showed significantly higher Cd levels in the leaf and kernel (Fig. S6A). Additionally, 12 significantly associated variations ($P < 8.9e-08$) on $ZmHMA3a$ were identified in AMP, which included the top SNP (chr2.s_163039506, $P = 7.0e-15$) identified in AMP is on the 5 th exon of $ZmHMA3a$ (Fig. 1E).
46 47 48 49 50 51	According to the IBD status of $ZmHMA3a$, the CUBIC progenies were classified into JI53 and Non-JI53 groups. Compared to Non-JI53 allelic individuals, JI53-allelic progenies showed significantly higher Cd levels in the leaf and kernel (Fig. S6A). Additionally, 12 significantly associated variations ($P < 8.9e-08$) on $ZmHMA3a$ were identified in AMP, which included the top SNP (chr2.s_163039506, $P = 7.0e-15$) identified in AMP is on the 5 th exon of $ZmHMA3a$ (Fig. 1E). Based on the 3 nonsynonymous SNPs (SNP27, SNP144, and SNP2945) and 2 structure variations
46 47 48 49 50 51	According to the IBD status of $ZmHMA3a$, the CUBIC progenies were classified into JI53 and Non-JI53 groups. Compared to Non-JI53 allelic individuals, JI53-allelic progenies showed significantly higher Cd levels in the leaf and kernel (Fig. S6A). Additionally, 12 significantly associated variations ($P < 8.9e-08$) on $ZmHMA3a$ were identified in AMP, which included the top SNP (chr2.s_163039506, $P = 7.0e-15$) identified in AMP is on the 5 th exon of $ZmHMA3a$ (Fig. 1E). Based on the 3 nonsynonymous SNPs (SNP27, SNP144, and SNP2945) and 2 structure variations (INS381 and INS1384) in $ZmHMA3a$, 409 accessions of AMP were classified into 6 distinct
46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	According to the IBD status of $ZmHMA3a$, the CUBIC progenies were classified into JI53 and Non-JI53 groups. Compared to Non-JI53 allelic individuals, JI53-allelic progenies showed significantly higher Cd levels in the leaf and kernel (Fig. S6A). Additionally, 12 significantly associated variations ($P < 8.9e-08$) on $ZmHMA3a$ were identified in AMP, which included the top SNP (chr2.s_163039506, $P = 7.0e-15$) identified in AMP is on the 5 th exon of $ZmHMA3a$ (Fig. 1E). Based on the 3 nonsynonymous SNPs (SNP27, SNP144, and SNP2945) and 2 structure variations (INS381 and INS1384) in $ZmHMA3a$, 409 accessions of AMP were classified into 6 distinct haplotypes (Fig. S6B). Comparatively, the leaf Cd content of Hap 6 was significantly higher than
46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	According to the IBD status of $ZmHMA3a$, the CUBIC progenies were classified into JI53 and Non-JI53 groups. Compared to Non-JI53 allelic individuals, JI53-allelic progenies showed significantly higher Cd levels in the leaf and kernel (Fig. S6A). Additionally, 12 significantly associated variations ($P < 8.9e-08$) on $ZmHMA3a$ were identified in AMP, which included the top SNP (chr2.s_163039506, $P = 7.0e-15$) identified in AMP is on the 5 th exon of $ZmHMA3a$ (Fig. 1E). Based on the 3 nonsynonymous SNPs (SNP27, SNP144, and SNP2945) and 2 structure variations (INS381 and INS1384) in $ZmHMA3a$, 409 accessions of AMP were classified into 6 distinct haplotypes (Fig. S6B). Comparatively, the leaf Cd content of Hap 6 was significantly higher than the other five groups, while no significant difference was observed among the other five groups (Fig.
46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	According to the IBD status of $ZmHMA3a$, the CUBIC progenies were classified into JI53 and Non-JI53 groups. Compared to Non-JI53 allelic individuals, JI53-allelic progenies showed significantly higher Cd levels in the leaf and kernel (Fig. S6A). Additionally, 12 significantly associated variations ($P < 8.9e-08$) on $ZmHMA3a$ were identified in AMP, which included the top SNP (chr2.s_163039506, $P = 7.0e-15$) identified in AMP is on the 5 th exon of $ZmHMA3a$ (Fig. 1E). Based on the 3 nonsynonymous SNPs (SNP27, SNP144, and SNP2945) and 2 structure variations (INS381 and INS1384) in $ZmHMA3a$, 409 accessions of AMP were classified into 6 distinct haplotypes (Fig. S6B). Comparatively, the leaf Cd content of Hap 6 was significantly higher than the other five groups, while no significant difference was observed among the other five groups (Fig. S6C). Moreover, the leaf Cd content of maize accessions carrying INS381 was significantly higher
46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	According to the IBD status of $ZmHMA3a$, the CUBIC progenies were classified into JI53 and Non-JI53 groups. Compared to Non-JI53 allelic individuals, JI53-allelic progenies showed significantly higher Cd levels in the leaf and kernel (Fig. S6A). Additionally, 12 significantly associated variations ($P < 8.9e-08$) on $ZmHMA3a$ were identified in AMP, which included the top SNP (chr2.s_163039506, $P = 7.0e-15$) identified in AMP is on the 5 th exon of $ZmHMA3a$ (Fig. 1E). Based on the 3 nonsynonymous SNPs (SNP27, SNP144, and SNP2945) and 2 structure variations (INS381 and INS1384) in $ZmHMA3a$, 409 accessions of AMP were classified into 6 distinct haplotypes (Fig. S6B). Comparatively, the leaf Cd content of Hap 6 was significantly higher than the other five groups, while no significant difference was observed among the other five groups (Fig. S6C). Moreover, the leaf Cd content of maize accessions carrying INS381 was significantly higher than that of maize lines not carrying INS381 in AMP (Fig. S6D).

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

(Anderson et al., 2019). In addition, JI53-type progenies harboring this intronic insertion accumulated more Cd in the leaf and kernel than Non-JI53 lines without this insertion (Fig. 1H), but the expression level of ZmHMA3a in roots was not statistically different among these individuals (Fig. 11). Furthermore, the full CDS sequences information revealed that all ZmHMA3a in the high-Cd accessions are mis-transcribed, which generates a premature stop-codon, while the transcripts from B73 and HZS are normal (Figs. 1J and S7). The above evidence implied the LTRretrotransposon is the causal variant, which did not alter the gene expression level but induced the dysfunctional protein. Therefore, we speculated ZmHMA3a might be the causal locus of leaf Cd variations in maize. In support of this, knockout of ZmHMA3a in KN5585 background (KO#5 and KO#6; Fig. S8A) did not result in any change in Cd concentration either in leaves or kernels (Fig. 1K and 1L); two EMS mutants hma3a.1 and hma3a.2 (Fig. S8B) accumulated more Cd in leaves and kernels than the wild accession B73 (Fig. 1M and 1N). To validate ZmHMA3a functions in limiting Cd translocation from root to shoot, we generated two independent overexpression lines (OE8 and OE32) and the respective non-transgenic lines (CK8 and CK32) of ZmHMA3a (Fig. 10). We quantified the Cd levels in the roots and the shoots of CKs and OEs seedlings grown in hydroponic solution with/without 80 µM CdCl₂ contamination and found that the OE plants accumulated significantly more Cd in roots and greatly limited Cd translocation from root to shoot (Fig. S9A and S9B). Moreover, the fluorescence signals of the Cdindicator dye from the OE8 root protoplasts were observed to be stronger in the vacuole than in the cytoplasm (Fig. S9C) whereas the fluorescence signals from the CK8 root protoplast were weaker in the vacuole than in the cytoplasm (Fig. S9D). Furtherly, ZmHMA3a^{B73}::GFP fusion protein was identified to be localized at the tonoplast in Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts when the plasma membrane and the tonoplast were separated by the chloroplasts (Fig. 1P) and the functional allele of ZmHMA3a was able to complement the function of Cd transportation in Cd-hypersensitive yeast mutant ycf1 (Fig. 1Q). These data confirmed that ZmHMA3a is a core valve located at the tonoplast which mediates Cd compartmentalization in the root vacuoles. Given that ZmHMA3a can sequester Cd into root vacuoles, overexpression of ZmHMA3a would be valuable for Cd-tolerance improvement. We therefore carried out the field trials (soil pH = 6.4) with $ZmHMA3a^{B73}$ overexpression lines (OE8 and OE32) together with the non-transgenic lines (CK8 and CK32) under three Cd levels: background (0.1 ppm), slightly-polluted (1 ppm), and

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

heavily-polluted (3 ppm). Across the three Cd-level trials, the ear development of CK plants was normal in the field trials with 0.1 ppm and 1 ppm Cd but was remarkably impeded in the 3 ppm field trial (Fig. 1R). The kernel weight per ear (KWPE) of OE plants and the CK plants were not significantly different from that in the background (0.1 ppm) and lightly-polluted (1 ppm) soil. KWPE of CK plants was tremendously decreased by 47.6% (P = 7.4e-07) in the heavily polluted soil (3 ppm Cd) compared with that of CK lines grown in the background field trial (Fig. 1S). These data indicate that heavily polluted soil significantly retards the growth development and yield of maize. By contrast, ZmHMA3a overexpression plants produced normal ears in the three field trials, and the yields (KWPE) were not significantly affected in the heavily polluted field compared with that in the 0.1 ppm Cd field (Fig. 1R and 1S), exhibiting the power of ZmHMA3a overexpression in improving maize tolerance to Cd. In heavily-polluted field trial, Cd accumulation was decreased up to 95.5% in the OE kernels (OE32 vs. CK32 at 3 ppm, P = 3.2e-05) (Fig 1T), while other essential micronutrients, such as zinc (Zn), iron (Fe), copper (Cu) and manganese (Mn), were unaffected or slightly decreased in any given circumstance (Fig. S10). Remarkably, the Cd concentrations were only 0.005-0.013 mg/kg in the OE kernels harvested from 1 ppm field and 0.006-0.033 mg/kg in the OE kernels from 3 ppm field (Fig. 1T), which were markedly lower than the hygienic standard for grains in China (MHPRC, 2012). To assess the potential of ZmHMA3a overexpression for resolving agricultural Cd pollution, we grew these OE lines together with the CK lines and the transgenic receptor KN5585 in pots containing field soil (pH = 5.6) supplemented with 18 ppm Cd and the same batch soil without Cd (0.1 ppm Cd). KN5585 and CK plants performed well in Cd-free soil pots, but they were severely impaired either in growth or yield under extremely Cd-polluted environment (18 ppm Cd) (Fig. 1U). In such conditions, the Cd contents in KN5585 and CK kernels were averagely 11-fold higher than 0.1mg/kg (Fig. 1V). By contrast, OE plants can normally grow without any observable defects and the yield was mildly impacted (Fig. 1U). The Cd levels of OE kernels were far below 0.1mg/kg when grown on 18 ppm Cd-supplemented soil (Fig. 1V). Notably, OE plants could accumulate up to 3 mg Cd per kilogram of dry leaves under 18 ppm Cd condition (Fig. S11), suggesting that ZmHMA3a overexpression lines have a great potential to efficiently extract Cd from the contaminated field. Considering that maize is a widely grown crop with massive biomass, the nonedible tissues can be processed into ash for Cd recycle to achieve eco-friendly and sustainable

development. These results showed that *ZmHMA3a* overexpression lines can be perfectly used for safe agricultural production without yield penalty in Cd polluted areas.

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

In summary, we identified a major QTL qCd2 for maize leaf Cd variations, which has been consecutively detected by previous studies (Zhao et al., 2018; Baseggio et al., 2021; Tang et al., 2021), suggesting that some essential genes underlying this region controlling Cd accumulation in maize. A comprehensive analysis of 12 ZmHMA genes (ZmHMA1–ZmHMA12) in maize identified ZmHMA3 were significantly associated with maize leaf Cd variations (Cao et al., 2019). Collectively, these publications only speculated ZmHMA3 might be responsible for Cd variations in maize without convincing data. Recently, Tang et al. (2021) functionally characterized this essential gene for Cd accumulation in maize kernels. However, the adjacent homolog gene ZmHMA4 was not excluded with any genetic evidence as a potential gene that coexisted in the QTL. In contrast, we firstly confirmed that ZmHMA3b was not the causal gene for natural variation in the leaf Cd via knockout and overexpression lines (Fig. S5). Then, we provided shreds of sound evidence to conclude that ZmHMA3a mediates Cd compartmentalization in the vacuoles of maize roots (Figs. 1P, 1Q, S9). Notably, the ZmHMA3a overexpression lines can produce Cd-free kernels without yield and quality penalties when grown under different Cd-contaminated levels (1 ppm, 3 ppm) and even extremely contaminated (18 ppm Cd) (Fig. 1R-1V), which provided genetic basis for breeding an ideal maize cultivar with high Cd accumulation in the non-edible tissues for phytoremediation and low Cd in the grains for safe food.

139	Conflict of interest
140	The authors declare no conflict of interest.
141	
142	Acknowledgments
143	We thank Mr. Hao Liu from the National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement (Huazhong
144	Agricultural University) for the help in managing the high-throughput computing system. The yeast
145	strain ycfl was kindly provided by Dr. Xinyuan Huang from Nanjing Agriculture university. This
146	research was supported by National Key Research and Development Program of China
147	(2020YFE0202300), National Natural Science Foundation of China (31961133002), Chinese
148	Academy of Sciences (XDB27010000), and Sichuan Science and Technology Program
149	(2018HH0160).

L50	References
L51	Anderson, S.N., Stitzer, M.C., Brohmmer, A.B., Zhou, P., Noshay, J.M., O'connor, C.H., Hirsch, C.D., et
L52	al., 2019. Transposable elements contribute to dynamic genome content in maize. Plant J. 100,
L53	1052–1065.
L54	Baseggio, M., Murray, M., Wu, D., Ziegler, G., Kaczmar, N., Chamness, J., et al., 2021. Genome-wide
L55	association study suggests an independent genetic basis of zinc and cadmium concentrations in
L56	fresh sweet corn kernels. G3 11, jkab186.
L57	Cao, Y., Zhao, X., Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Wu, W., Jiang, Y., et al., 2019. Genome-wide identification of
L58	ZmHMAs and association of natural variation in ZmHMA2 and ZmHMA3 with leaf cadmium
L59	accumulation in maize. PeerJ 7, e7877.
L60	Chang, J.D., Huang, S., Konishi, N., Wang, P., Chen, J., Huang, X.Y., Ma, J.F., et al., 2020.
L61	Overexpression of the manganese/cadmium transporter OsNRAMP5 reduces cadmium
L62	accumulation in rice grain. J. Exp. Bot. 71, 5705-5715.
L63	Hu, Y.N., Cheng, H.F., Tao, S., 2016. The challenges and solutions for cadmium-contaminated rice in
L64	China: a critical review. Environ. Int. 92–93, 515–532.
L65	Liu, H.J., Wang, X.Q., Xiao, Y.J., Luo, J.Y., Qiao, F., Yang, W.Y., Zhang, R.Y., et al., 2020. CUBIC: an
L66	atlas of genetic architecture promises directed maize improvement. Genome Biol. 21, 1–17.
L67	Lu, C.N., Zhang, L.X., Tang, Z., Huang, X.Y., Ma, J.F., Zhao, F.J., 2019. Producing cadmium-free Indica
L68	rice by overexpressing OsHMA3. Environ. Int. 126, 619–626.
L69	MHPRC., 2012. China national food safety standard: maximum limit of contaminants in food (GB 2762-
L70	2012). MHPRC Beijing, China.
L71	Miyadate, H., Adachi, S., Hiraizumi, A., Tezuka, K., Nakazawa, N., Kawamoto, T., Katou, K., et al.,
L72	2011. OsHMA3, a P1B-type of ATPase affects root-to-shoot cadmium translocation in rice by
L73	mediating efflux into vacuoles. New Phytol. 189, 190-199.
L74	Sasaki, A., Yamaji, N., Ma, J.F., 2014. Overexpression of OsHMA3 enhances Cd tolerance and expression
L75	of Zn transporter genes in rice. J. Exp. Bot. 65, 6013-6021.
L76	Sasaki, A., Yamaji, N., Yokosho, K., Ma, J.F., 2012. Nramp5 is a major transporter responsible for
L77	manganese and cadmium uptake in rice. Plant Cell 24, 2155–2167.
L78	Tang, B., Luo, M., Zhang, Y., Guo, H., Li, J., Song, W., et al., 2021. Natural variations in the P-type
L79	ATPase heavy metal transporter gene ZmHMA3 control cadmium accumulation in maize grains.

180	J. Exp. Bot. 72, 6230–6246.
181	Ueno, D., Yamaji, N., Kono, I., Huang, C.F., Ando, T., Yano, M., Ma, J.F., 2010. Gene limiting cadmium
182	accumulation in rice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 16500-16505.
183	Walley, J.W., Sartor, R.C., Shen, Z.X., Schmitz, R.J., Wu, K.J., Urich, M.A., Nery, J.R., et al., 2016
184	Integration of omic networks in a developmental atlas of maize. Science 353, 814-818.
185	Wang, P., Chen, H.P., Kopittke, P.M., Zhao, F.J., 2019. Cadmium contamination in agricultural soils of
186	China and the impact on food safety. Environ. Pollut. 249, 1038–1048.
187	Wuana, R.A., Okieimen, F.E., 2010. Phytoremediation potential of maize (Zea mays L.). A review
188	African Journal of General Agriculture 6, 275–287.
189	Yang, X.H., Gao, S.B, Xu, S.T., Zhang, Z.X., Prasanna, B.M., Li, L., Li, J.S., et al., 2011
190	Characterization of a global germplasm collection and its potential utilization for analysis of
191	complex quantitative traits in maize. Mol.Breeding 28, 511-526.
192	Zhao, F.J., Ma, Y.B., Zhu, Y.G., Tang, Z., Mcgrath, S.P., 2015. Soil contamination in China: current status
193	and mitigation strategies. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 750-759.
194	Zhao, F.J., Wang, P., 2020. Arsenic and cadmium accumulation in rice and mitigation strategies. Plant
195	and Soil 446, 1–21.
196	Zhao, X.W., Luo, L.X., Cao, Y.H., Liu, Y.J., Li, Y.H., Wu, W.M., Lan, Y.Z., et al., 2018. Genome-wide
197	association analysis and QTL mapping reveal the genetic control of cadmium accumulation in
198	maize leaf. BMC Genomics 19, 91.
199	

Figure legend

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

200

Fig. 1. ZmHMA3a is the causal locus of natural variation in leaf and kernel Cd of maize plants and overexpression ZmHMA3a in maize holds a great potential for resolving the agricultural Cd pollution. A: Manhattan plot of Cd content in leaf via sGWAS in CUBIC. The black dashed line depicts the cutoff $-\log_{10}(P = 9.9e-08) = 7.0$. **B**: Fine-mapping of the major QTL controlling leaf Cd content on chromosome 2 using CUBIC offspring. Values are mean ± S.E. and different letters denote significant differences (P < 0.05) from a Tukey's HSD test. n is the number of CUBIC offspring belonging to the IBD-status groups. C: Manhattan plot for GWAS on leaf Cd variations in AMP. chr2.s 163039506 is the top SNP (P = 7.0e-15). **D**: Locuszoom of qCd2 with 22 putative genes marked by rectangles. Arrows on the horizontal black lines show the direction of transcription. E: Natural variations in ZmHMA3a were significantly associated with leaf Cd content in AMP. The top SNP chr2.s 163039506 is highlighted by the black arrow and the other genetic variants are colored according to their LD (R^2) with the top SNP. The triangles denote structure variants and the dots represent SNPs. The horizontal dashed line represents the significance threshold (P = 8.9e-08) of genome-wide association study in AMP. F: The gene structure of ZmHMA3a in low-Cd line (B73) and Non-JI53) and high-Cd line (Mo17 and JI53) with white boxes representing exons, gray box representing 5' UTR and blue triangle representing the LTR-retrotransposon inserted in 1st intron. Green bar represents CopZ domain and yellow bars represent ATPase-IB2-Cd domain. G: Agarose gel electrophoresis (AGE) image of the PCR product of LTR retrotransposon insertion in low-Cd line (B73) and high-Cd line (Mo17, KN5585, and JI53). H and I: Comparison of Cd content in the kernel and leaf (H) as well as the expression level of ZmHMA3a (I) in root between the JI53haplotype offspring and the Non-JI53 type offspring in CUBIC. J: Transcript isoforms of ZmHMA3a in low-Cd line (B73 and HZS) and high-Cd line (Mo17, KN5585, and JI53). K and L: Comparison of Cd content in the leaf (K) and kernel (L) between the knockout mutants (KO#5 and KO#6, Cas9free T₃ generation) and the transgenic receptor KN5585. M and N: Comparison of Cd content in the leaf (M) and kernel (N) between the EMS mutants hma3a.1 and hma3a.2 and the wild accession B73. O: The relative expression level of ZmHMA3a in transgenic overexpressing lines (OE8 and OE32) and the segregated non-transgenic lines (CK8 and CK32). Gene-expression level is analyzed using quantitative PCR with two biological replicates, each with three technical replicates. The maize gene (Zm00001d044172) is used as an internal control. P: Subcellular localization of ZmHMA3a. ZmHMA3a::GFP from B73 (Lower panel) and only GFP (Upper panel) were transiently expressed in Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts. From left to right: images of the GFP signal and chlorophyll autofluorescence, bright-field images, and merged images. White arrow indicates the area where the tonoplast is separated from the plasma membrane. Scale bars, 8 μm. Q: Heterologous expression of ZmHMA3 in Cd-sensitive yeast strain ycf1. ycf1 (Cd-sensitive) expressing ZmHMA3, AtHMA3(as positive control) and empty vector (pYES2) after three days growth at 30°C on SD-Ura media with and without 50 μM Cd, and galactose was used for induction of HMA3. Wide type

EmHMA3a overexpression lines (OE8 and OE32) and the non-transgenic lines (CK8 and CK32) grown in three Cd levels field trials. ppm: parts per million. S: Comparison of kernel weight per ear of $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines in three Cd levels field trials. Values are mean \pm S.E T: Comparison of Cd content in kernels between $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines grown in three Cd levels field trials. U: The growth performance of $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression plants and the non-transgenic plants as well as the transgenic receptor CN5585 grown in the pots supplemented w/o 18 ppm Cd. V: Comparison of Cd content in kernels between $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic exceptor KN5585 grown in the pots supplemented w/o 18 ppm Cd. Values are mean \pm S.D. and the tatistical significance is estimated by two-sided Student's t -test. ***, P < 0.001. n is the number of naize accessions assigned to the corresponding group. NS, not significant. n is the sample size. DW, lry weight. S.E., standard error; S.D., standard deviation.
of $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines in three Cd levels field trials. Values are mean \pm S.E T: Comparison of Cd content in kernels between $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines grown in three Cd levels field trials. U: The growth performance of $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression plants and the non-transgenic plants as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines supplemented w/o 18 ppm Cd. V: Comparison of Cd content in kernels between $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor KN5585 grown in the pots supplemented w/o 18 ppm Cd. Values are mean \pm S.D. and the tatistical significance is estimated by two-sided Student's t -test. ***, P <0.001. n is the number of naize accessions assigned to the corresponding group. NS, not significant. n is the sample size. DW,
are mean \pm S.E T: Comparison of Cd content in kernels between $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines grown in three Cd levels field trials. U: The growth performance of $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression plants and the non-transgenic plants as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines supplemented w/o 18 ppm Cd. V: Comparison of Cd content in kernels between $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor KN5585 grown in the pots supplemented w/o 18 ppm Cd. Values are mean \pm S.D. and the tatistical significance is estimated by two-sided Student's t -test. ***, P <0.001. n is the number of naize accessions assigned to the corresponding group. NS, not significant. n is the sample size. DW,
and the non-transgenic lines grown in three Cd levels field trials. U: The growth performance of $CmHMA3a$ overexpression plants and the non-transgenic plants as well as the transgenic receptor $CN5585$ grown in the pots supplemented w/o 18 ppm Cd. V: Comparison of Cd content in kernels between $CMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor $CMMMA3a$ overexpression lines and the n
ZmHMA3a overexpression plants and the non-transgenic plants as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic receptor $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression $ZmHMA3a$
KN5585 grown in the pots supplemented w/o 18 ppm Cd. V: Comparison of Cd content in kernels between $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor KN5585 grown in the pots supplemented w/o 18 ppm Cd. Values are mean \pm S.D. and the tatistical significance is estimated by two-sided Student's t -test. ***, P < 0.001. n is the number of naize accessions assigned to the corresponding group. NS, not significant. n is the sample size. DW,
between $ZmHMA3a$ overexpression lines and the non-transgenic lines as well as the transgenic ecceptor KN5585 grown in the pots supplemented w/o 18 ppm Cd. Values are mean \pm S.D. and the tatistical significance is estimated by two-sided Student's t -test. ***, $P < 0.001$. n is the number of naize accessions assigned to the corresponding group. NS, not significant. n is the sample size. DW,
eceptor KN5585 grown in the pots supplemented w/o 18 ppm Cd. Values are mean \pm S.D. and the tatistical significance is estimated by two-sided Student's <i>t</i> -test. ***, $P < 0.001$. n is the number of naize accessions assigned to the corresponding group. NS, not significant. n is the sample size. DW,
tatistical significance is estimated by two-sided Student's <i>t</i> -test. ***, $P < 0.001$. <i>n</i> is the number of naize accessions assigned to the corresponding group. NS, not significant. <i>n</i> is the sample size. DW,
naize accessions assigned to the corresponding group. NS, not significant. n is the sample size. DW,
lry weight. S.E., standard error; S.D., standard deviation.

Yuanyuan Chen ¹	252
National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Huazhong Agricultural University,	253
Wuhan, Hubei 430070, China	254
	255
Zhen-Fei Chao¹	256
National Key Laboratory of Plant Molecular Genetics, CAS Center for Excellence in Molecular	257
Plant Sciences, Shanghai Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Chinese Academy of	258
Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China	259
University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China	260
	261
Min Jin	262
National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Huazhong Agricultural University,	263
Wuhan, Hubei 430070, China	264
	265
Ya-Ling Wang	266
National Key Laboratory of Plant Molecular Genetics, CAS Center for Excellence in Molecular	267
Plant Sciences, Shanghai Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Chinese Academy of	268
Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China	269
	270
Yaoyao Li	271
School of Life Sciences, State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Subtropical	272
Agro-Bioresources, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510642, China	273
	274
Jia-Chen Wu	275
National Key Laboratory of Plant Molecular Genetics, CAS Center for Excellence in Molecular	276
Plant Sciences, Shanghai Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Chinese Academy of	277
Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China	278
University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China	279
	280
Yingjie Xiao	281

National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Huazhong Agricultural University,	282
Wuhan, Hubei 430070, China	283
Hubei Hongshan Laboratory, Wuhan, Hubei 430070, China	284
	285
Yong Peng	286
National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Huazhong Agricultural University,	287
Wuhan, Hubei 430070, China	288
	289
Qiao-Yan Lv	290
National Key Laboratory of Plant Molecular Genetics, CAS Center for Excellence in Molecular	291
Plant Sciences, Shanghai Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Chinese Academy of	292
Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China	293
University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China	294
	295
Songtao Gui	296
National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Huazhong Agricultural University,	297
Wuhan, Hubei 430070, China	298
	299
Xiaqing Wang	300
Beijing Key Laboratory of Maize DNA Fingerprinting and Molecular Breeding, Maize Research	301
Center, Beijing Academy of Agriculture & Forestry Sciences (BAAFS), Beijing 100097, China	302
	303
Mei-Ling Han	304
National Key Laboratory of Plant Molecular Genetics, CAS Center for Excellence in Molecular	305
Plant Sciences, Shanghai Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Chinese Academy of	306
Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China	307
	308
Alisdair R. Fernie	309
Department of Molecular Physiology, Max-Planck-Institute of Molecular Plant Physiology, Am	310
Mühlenberg 1, 14476 Potsdam-Golm, Germany	311

	312
Dai-Yin Chao*	313
National Key Laboratory of Plant Molecular Genetics, CAS Center for Excellence in Molecular	314
Plant Sciences, Shanghai Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Chinese Academy of	315
Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China	316
	317
Jianbing Yan*	318
National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Huazhong Agricultural University,	319
Wuhan, Hubei 430070, China	320
Hubei Hongshan Laboratory, Wuhan, Hubei 430070, China	321
	322
	323
¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.	324
*Correspondence authors.	325
Email addresses: <u>dychao@cemps.ac.cn</u> (DY. Chen), <u>yjianbing@mail.hzau.edu.cn</u> (J. Yan)	326
	327

